the second	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/995,393	COURNOYER ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Joseph S. Del Sole	1722
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>pending</u>		
(1) <u>Joseph S. Del Sole (PTO)</u> .	(3)	
(2) Mr. David Wisz (Applicant's rep.).	(4)	
Date of Interview: 12 January 2004	Time: <u>3:13pm</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: .		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 18-21		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER The Examiner contacted Mr. Wisz to confirmed the cancellation of 29 are allowed.		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
Joseph C. Ol Sile		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Signature	gnature – if appropriate)